

IPC-1710A

OEM Standard for Printed Board Manufacturers' Qualification Profile

Developed by the OEM council of the IPC, the MQP sets the standard for assessing PWB manufacturers capabilities and allows PWB manufacturers to more easily satisfy customer requirements.

IPC-1710A May 2004

A standard developed by IPC

NOTICE

IPC standards and publications are designed to serve the public interest through eliminating misunderstandings between manufacturers and purchasers, facilitating interchangeability and improvement of products, and assisting the purchaser in selecting and obtaining with minimum delay the proper product for his particular need. Existence of such Standards and Publications shall not in any respect preclude any member or non-member of IPC from manufacturing or selling products not conforming to such Standards and Publications, nor shall the existence of such Standards and Publications preclude their voluntary use by those other than IPC members, whether the standard is to be used either domestically or internationally.

Recommended Standards and Publications are adopted by IPC without regard to whether their adoption may involve patents on articles, materials or processes. By such action, IPC does not assume any liability to any patent owner, nor do they assume any obligation whatever to parties adopting the recommended Standard or Publication. Users are also wholly responsible for protecting themselves against all claims of liabilities for patent infringement.

The material in this standard was developed by the OEM Council of the Institute for Interconnecting and Packaging Electronic Circuits.

© Copyright 2004. IPC, Northbrook, Illinois. All rights reserved under both international and Pan-American copyright conventions.

May 2004 IPC-1710A FOREWORD

It is not intended that this Manufacturers' Qualification Profile (MQP) satisfies all the requirements of the customer, however, conscientious maintenance of this document and or registration to ISO 9000 requirements should satisfy the major concerns. Thus, audits should be simpler, required less frequently, and facilitate less paper work as customers and suppliers work closer to meeting each others needs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The IPC is indebted to the members of the OEM council who participated in the development of this document. A note of thanks is also expressed to the members of the IPC Presidents Council for their review and critique and construction recommendations in finalizing the principles developed for the MQP.

Although the IPC is grateful for all the involvement and individual contributions made in completing the MQP a special acknowledgment is extended to the following individuals. It was their dedication and foresight that made this publication possible.

Rudolfo Archbold
Digital Equipment Corp

Northern Telecom
Sue Jones

Rick lantaffi

Patrick Bernardi
IBM

Wilcox Electric

Vernon Brown Motorola, Inc.

Chuck Krzesicki Honeywell Avionics Division

Don Holt *Texas Instruments*

Thomas Kurtz
Hughes Defense Communications

Don Noel *Harris Corp. - Computer Sys. Div*

Rick Smith
Compaq Computer Corp.

Peter Solecky IBM

Joseph F. Sterba Honeywell, Inc.

Mario Suarez-Solis Encore Computer Corp.

Gordon Wolfram Raytheon Company

Jerald G. Rosser Hughes Missile Operations Div.

Jamie Zanios Wellborn Industries Ltd.

CONTENTS

<u>Secti</u>	ons:	Pages:
1.1	Company Description	1
1.2	Site Description	2
2.1	Process	3-4
2.2	Electrical Test Equipment	5-7
2.3	Product Type	8-10
2.4	Product Complexity	11-14
2.5	Quality Development	15-16
3.0	Equipment Profile	17-21
	Master Equipment Listing	22
4.0	Technology Profile Specifics	23-30
5.0	Quality Profile	31-41
6.0	Manufacturing History	42
7.0	Identification of Previous Audits	43
8.0	Financial Review	44
9.0	MQP Electronic Editing	45

SECTION 1.1

LARRY DETROJA

COMPANY DESCRIPTION

DATE COMPLETED	
1-11-2013	

GENERAL INFORMATION					_	
LEGAL NAME						
EAGLE ELECTRONICS, INC.						
PHYSICAL ADDRESS						
1735 MITCHELL BLVD.						
CITY		STATE		ZIP		
SCHAUMBURG		IL		60193		
PROVINCE		COUNTRY				
		USA				
TELEPHONE NUMBER		FAX NUMBER		TELEX NUI	MBER	
847 891-5800		847 891-5874				
E-MAIL ADDRESS	MODEM NUM	BER	DATE	FOUNDED	1979	
BMCCOY@EAGLE-ELEC.COM				PUBLIC	☑ PRIVATE	
INTERNET URL		FTP SITE				
WWW.EAGLE-ELEC.COM						
hanna Gendenie						
MANAGEMENT						
PRESIDENT						
MIKE KALARIA						
CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER						
MIKE KALARIA						
VICE PRESIDENT OF MANUFACTURING						
NORI LUCIANO						
VICE PRESIDENT OF QUALITY						
CHARLIE SAVALIYA- QUALITY MAN	IAGER					
VICE PRESIDENT OF MARKETING/SALES						
BRETT MCCOY- VICE PRESIDENT						
VICE PRESIDENT OF CUSTOMER SERVICE						
DAWN MCCONNELL						
I WASTE TREATMENT MANAGER (POLLLITION PREVEN	ITION)					

CORPORATE		NUMBER OF I	EMPLOYEES	
DESCRIPTION	N	CORPORATE	SITE	COMMENTS
DESIGN AND DEVEL	OPMENT	2	2	
ENGINEERING		6	6	
MANUFACTURING CONTROL		4	4	
MANUFACTURING	DIRECT	52	52	
	INDIRECT	5	5	
QUALITY CONTROL	QUALITY ENGINEERS	1	1	
	INTERNAL AUDITORS	4	4	
	GENERAL MANAGEMENT	5	5	
ADMINISTRATION		5	5	
ТОТ	AL	83	83	

SECTION 1.2

SITE DESCRIPTION

(TO BE COMPLETED FOR EACH SITE)

DATE COMPLETED 1-28-2014
ATTACH APPROPRIATE CHARTS (OPTIONAL)

MANUFA	ACTU	JRIN	NG FA	4CIL	.ITY															
	COMPANY NAME EAGLE ELECTRONICS, INC.																			
PHYSICAL	_ ADE	DRES	SS :	1735	MI	ГСНЕ	LL	BLVD)											
CITY SCHAUMBURG								STA	TE	II	,				ZII	P 60	193			
PROVINC	E								COUNTRY USA											
TELEPHONE NUMBER 847 891-5800										FAX	NU	IMBER	8	47 89	1-5	874	TE	ELEX		
E-MAIL AD	DDRE	SS					МС	DDEM N	NUMB	BER					YEA	RS IN	BUS	SINES	S	33
MCC	OY@	EAG	GLE-I	ELEC	C.CC)M													_	
INTERNET	T URL	_	W	WW	.EA	GLE-	ELE	EC.CO	M	FTP				•						
PRINCIPLE F					PECIA	LTIES						ARACTE		,						, ,
PRINTED (CIRC	UII B	BOARL	OS								PE TO	Ml	ED V	OLU	JME, I	HIG	H ML	X, Q	UICK
									TU	RN (24	HR)								
FACILIT'	Y <u>M</u> /	ANA	GEM	ENT				TITL								REPOR	RTS	TO (F	unction	/Job Title)
OVERALL OP	PERATI	ON RE				THIS S	ITE	PRES		Т					l	N/A				
MIKE KA																				
MANUFACTU NORI LUC								PROD	OUCT	ION I	MA	NAGEI	Κ.			PRESII)EN	Т		
TECHNICAL			NG					PROC	CESS	ENGI	NE	ERING			1	PRESIL	DEN'	T		
JOHN CU																				
MATERIALS/ NORI LUC			ON CON	NTROL	-			PROD	OUCT	ION I	MA	NAGEI	2		1	PRESIDENT				
PURCHASIN		0						PURCHASING					+	V.P. ACCOUNTING						
ZEENAT		ΑI						1 UKCHASING					v.i . Accounting							
QUALITY								QUALITY MANAGER PRESIDENT												
CHARLIE SALES REP								CUSTOMER SERVICE MANAGER NATIONAL SALES												
DAWN M	_							CUSTOMER SERVICE MANAGER NATIONAL SALES MANAGER												
WASTE MAN								CHEMICAL ENGINEER PRESIDENT												
LARRY D								CHEWICAL ENGINEER TRESIDENT												
BUILDIN	GS										S	YSTE	MS	(INDIC	ATE	% COVE	RAG	E)		
		AGE		REA		nstructio	-	Power Condition		Heating		Ventilatio		Air Conditio		Sprinkl		Wast Treatm		Other
Office		38		q. Ft.) 0000	В	ood/Brid	K)	100		100		0		100)	100)	0		
Manufacturi	ing	38		0000	В			100		100		75		75		100)	50		
Storage		38	20	0000	В			50		100		0		100)	100)	0		
Planned additions																				
SAFETY	ANI) RE	GUI	ATC	RY	AGE	NC	YREC	JUIR	EME	N	TS.								
Are fire exting	guisher	s func	tional a			X YE		□NO	What	is the c	dista	nce to the	nea	arest						
accessible to						N VE	0			ation?	`						4	4 Minut	es	
Do you confo ment protecti						⊠ YE	٥ 	□NO		of last (of last E										
Are you currently operating under a waiver YES				S	⊠ NO				idits, UL,), CSA Ap				JL# <u>E753</u>		⊠ iso ⊠ Ot	9000#				
or in violation of local government requirements?							lumber		ι, CSA Αρ	prov	/ai	Ц	CSA #	_	⊠ Ot	ner	<u>ITAR</u>			
Do you have a safety program? Describe below.				S	□ NO Hazardous Waste Number Trade Waste Account Number															
		N 11-2-				A)===			rrade	vvaste	; ACC	ount Nun	ıber							
PLANT PE			•							_										
Regular	Conti	ract	Offic	е		nical/ eering	Pro	oduction		Time (A	Pa	rt-Time QA	ا	Jnion	Non- Union					Contract pires (Date)
0.5			_											•	<u> </u>					(- 0.0)
83	0		5		() ***********	 	52	J 1	0	 	0		0	 	83				
	<u>~~~~~~~~</u>				<u></u>				w0000000	w0000000	<u></u>	w0000000000000000000000000000000000000		w00000000	<u></u>					

SECTION 2.1 PROCESS

DATE COMPLETED	
1-28-	

This section is intended to provide overview information on the processes used to fabricate printed board products.

Site Capability Snapshot (Please Check all that apply)

	Designators		Remarks
Α	Conductor Forming Processes	⊠Subtractive	
		☑Thin Foil Subtractive less than .5 oz.	
		□Semi-Additive	
		⊠Additive (Electro-less)	
		⊠Black Hole	
		☐Thick Film Paste and Fire	
		☐Thin Film Semi-conductor Sputtering	
		□Other:	
В	PTH Materials and Processes	⊠Acid Copper	
		☐Pyro-Phosphate Copper	
		□Full Built Electro-Less	
		☐Gold Paste	
		□Copper Paste	
		☐Gold Conductor Sputtering	
		□Nickel Conductor Sputtering	
		□Other:	
С	Permanent Over-plating	⊠Tin	
		⊠Tin-Lead	
		□Tin-Nickel Alloy	
		⊠Nickel	
		⊠Nickel Gold (Hard)	
		⊠Nickel Gold (Soft)	
		□Nickel Rhodium	
		☐Conductive Polymer	
		□Other:	

D	Permanent Selective Plating	⊠Tin	
D	 	 ⊠Tin-Lead	
		□Tin-Nickel Alloy	
		⊠Nickel	
		⊠Nickel Gold (Hard)	
		⊠Nickel Gold (Soft)	
		□Nickel Rhodium	
		□Other:	
Е	Permanent Mask or Coating	☑Photo Dry Film	OUTSIDE SOURCE
		☑Photo Liquid	
		⊠lmage Transfer Screen Mask	
		☐Conformal Coating Solder Mask	
		⊠Cover Coat	
	Other Surface Finishes	□Other: □Tin-Lead Fused	
F	Other Surface Finishes	□ IIII-Lead Fused	
		⊠Immersion Tin	
		⊠Solder Leveled	
		☐Roll Soldered	
		□Electro-less Solder Fused	
		□Solder Bumped Lands □Solder Paste Fused	
		⊠Azole Organic Protective Covering	
		☐Flux Protective Covering	
		☑Other: IMMERSION SILVER	

SECTION 2.2ELECTRICAL TEST EQUIPMENT

DATE COMPLETED	
1-28-2014	

This section is intended to provide overview information on the test equipment and testing capability of the manufacturer.

Site Capability Snapshot (Please Check the column that applies furthest to the right.)

	Designators		Remarks
Α	Number of Nets	□<200	
		□200	
		□500	
		□1000	
		□2000	
		⊠3000	
		□4000	
		□5000	
		□>5000	
		Other:	
В	Number of Nodes	□<500	
		□500	
		□1000	
		□2000	
		□3000	
		⊠4000	
		□5000	
		□6000	
		□>6000	
		□Other:	
С	Probe Point Pitch	□>1.0 [.040]	
		□1.0 [.040]	
		□0.8 [.032]	
		□0.65 [.025]	
		□0.50 [.020]	
		□0.40 [.016]	
		□0.30 [.012]	
		⊠0.20 [.008]	
		□<0.20 [.008]	
		☐Other:	

пС	-1/10A		Iviay A
D	Test % Single Pass	□None	
		□<60%	
		□60%	
		□70%	
		□80%	
		□90%	
		□95%	
		⊠99%	
		□100%	
		□Other:	
Е	Probe Accuracy (DTP)	□>0.2 [.008]	
		□0.2 [.008]	
		□0.15 [.006]	
		□0.125 [.005]	
		□0.1 [.004]	
		⊠0.075 [.003]	
		□<0.075 [.003]	
		Other:	
F	Grid Density	☐Single Side Grid	
		□Double Sided Grid	
		☐Double Density Grid	
		⊠Double Density Double Sided	
		☐Quad Density	
		□Double Sided Quad Density	
		⊠Flying Probe	
		□Other:	
G	Netlist Capability	⊠Golden Board	
		⊠IPC-D-356	
		⊠Net List Extraction	
		⊠CAD/CAM Net List Compare	
		Other:	
	1	T .	I

May 2004 IPC-1710A □<20 VDC Test Voltage □20 VDC □40 VDC □60 VDC □80 VDC □100 VDC ⊠500 VDC ⊠1000 VDC □>1000 VDC Other: Impedance Meas ☐Micro Section J ☐Inboard Circuit **⊠**Coupon ☑Manual TDR ☐Automated TDR ☐Other: K Impedance Tolerance □None □>20% □20% **□**15% ⊠10% ⊠7% ⊠5% **□**2% **□**<2%

☐Other:

SECTION 2.3 PRODUCT TYPE

DATE COMPLETED	
1-28-2014	

This section is intended to provide overview information on the printed board product types being fabricated by the manufacturer.

Site Capability Snapshot (Please Check all that apply.)

	Designators		Remarks
Α	Product Type	⊠Rigid Printed Board	
		⊠Flex Printed Board	
		⊠Rigid/Flex Board	
		⊠Rigid Back Plane	
		☐Molded Product	
		⊠Ceramic Printed Board	
		☐Multichip Module	
		Liminated Multichip Module	
		☐Deposited Dielectric Multichip Modules	
		□Other:	
В	Circuit Mounting Type	⊠Single Sided	
		⊠Double Sided	
		⊠Miltilayer	
		☐Single-sided Bonded to Substrate	
		☐Double-sided Bonded to Substrate	
		☐Multilayer Bonded to Substrate	
		☐Constrained Multilayer	
		☐Distributed Plane Multilayer	
		☐Other:	
С	Via Technology	⊠No-Vias	
		☑Thru Hole Vias	
		⊠Buried Vias	
		⊠Blind Vias	
		⊠Thru Hole & Blind Vias]	
		☑Thru Hole & Buried Vias	
		☑Thru Hole Buried & Blind Vias	
		⊠Buried & Blind Vias	
		Other:	

D	Laminate Material	⊠Phenolic			
		□Ероху Рарег			
		⊠Epoxy Glass			
		⊠Modified Epoxy Composite			
		⊠Polyimide Film & Reinforce			
		⊠Cynanate Ester			
		⊠Teflon			
		⊠Ceramic Glass Types			
		⊠Various Combinations			
		□Other:			
Е	Core Material	⊠No Core			
		⊠Polymer			
		⊠Copper			
		⊠Aluminum			
		□Graphite			
		□Copper Invar/Copper			
		□Copper Moly/Copper			
		Other:			
F	Copper Thickness (Oz.)	☐1/8 Minimum			
		⊠1/4 Minimum			
		⊠3/8 Minimum			
		⊠1/2 Nominal			
		⊠1 Nominal			
		⊠2 Nominal			
		⊠3-5 Max			
		⊠6-9 Max			
		□>10			
		□Other:			
G	Construction	∐≤4 Planes			
		⊠>4 Planes			
		⊠THK to TOL ≤0.2 mm			
		☐THK to TOL >0.2 mm			
		⊠Bow/Twist ≤1%			
		□Bow/Twist >1%			
		⊠≤0.3 mm Profile Tolerance			
		□0.3 mm Profile Tolerance			
		□Other:			

IPC-1710A

| Coatings and Markings | S≤0.1 mm Mask Clearance | Solution | Side (Legend) | Sid

SECTION 2.4PRODUCT COMPLEXITY

DATE COMPLETED	
1-28-2014	

This section is intended to provide overview information on product complexity being fabricated by the manufacturer.

(Please check the column that applies farthest to the right)

	Designators		Remarks
Α	Board Size Diagonal	□<250 [10.00]	
		□250 [10.00]	
		□350 [14.00]	
		□450[17.50]	
		□550 [21.50]	
		☐650 [25.50]	
		□750 [29.50]	
		□850 [33.50]	
		⊠>850 [33.50]	
		□Other:	
В	Total Board Thickness	☐1,0 [.040]	
		□1,0 [.040]	
		□1,6 [.060]	
		□2,0 [.080]	
		□2,5 [.100]	
		□3,5 [.135]	
		□5,0 [.200]	
		□6,5 [.250]	
		⊠>6,5 [.250]	
		□Other:	
С	Number Conductive Layers	□1-4	
		□5-6	
		□7-8	
		□9-12	
		□13-16	
		□17-20	
		□21-24	
		□25-28	
		⊠>28	
		□Other:	

пс	-1/10A		1V.
D	Dia Drilled Holes	⊠>0,5 [.020]	
		⊠0,5 [.020]	
		⊠0,4 [.016]	
		⊠0,35 [.014]	
		⊠0,30 [.012]	
		⊠0,25 [.010]	
		⊠0,20 [.008]	
		⊠0,15 [.006]	
		□<0,15 [.006]	
		□Other:	
E	Total PTH TOL (Max-Min)	⊠>0,250 [.010]	
		⊠0,250 [.010]	
		⊠0,200 [.008]	
		⊠0,150 [.006]	
		⊠0,125 [.005]	
		⊠0,100 [.004]	
		⊠0,075 [.003]	
		⊠0,050 [.002]	
		□<0,050 [.002]	
	Hala Lasatian TOL DTD	Other:	
F	Hole Location TOL DTP	⊠>0,50 [.020]	
		⊠0,50 [.020]	
		⊠0,40 [.016]	
		⊠0,30 [.012]	
		⊠0,25 [.010] —	
		⊠0,20 [.008] 	
		⊠0,15 [.006]	
		⊠0,10 [.004]	
		⊠<0,10 [.004]	
G	Internal Layer Clearance (Min)	☐ Other: ☐ >0,350 [.014]	
		□0,350 [.014]	
		□0,250 [.010]	
		□0,200 [.008]	
		□0,150 [.005]	
		□0,125 [.005]	
		□0,100 [.004]	
		⊠0,075 [.003]	
		□<0,075 [.003]	
1		□Other:	

Н	Internal Layer Conductor Width (Min)	□>0,250 [.010]	
	(141111)	□0,250 [.010]	
		□0,200 [.008]	
		□0,150 [.006]	
		□0,125 [.005]	
		□0,100 [.004]	
		⊠0,075 [.003]	
		□0,050 [.002]	
		□<0,050 [.002]	
		□Other:	
J	Internal Layer Process Allowance	□>0,100 [.004] 	
		□0,100 [.004]	
		□0,075 [.003]	
		□0,050 [.002]	
		□0,040 [.0015]	
		□0,030 [.0012]	
		⊠0,025 [.001]	
		□0,020 [.0008]	
		□<0,020 [.0008]	
		□Other:	
K	External Layer Clearance (Min)	□>0,350 [.014]	
		□0,350 [.014]	
		□0,250 [.010]	
		□0,200 [.008]	
		□0,150 [.006]	
		□0,125 [.005]	
		□0,100 [.004]	
		⊠0,075 [.003]	
		□<0,075 [.003]	
		□Other:	

пС	-1/10A		17
L	External Layer Conductor Width (Min)	□>0,250 [.010]	
		□0,250 [.010]	
		□0,200 [.008]	
		□0,150 [.006]	
		□0,125 [.005]	
		□0,100 [.004]	
		⊠0,075 [.003]	
		□0,050 [.002]	
		□<0,050 [.002]	
		□Other:	
М	External Layer Process Allowance	□>0,100 [.004]	
		□0,100 [.004]	
		□0,075 [.003]	
		□0,050 [.002]	
		□0,040 [.0015]	
		□0,030 [.0012]	
		⊠0,025 [.001]	
		□0,020 [[.0008]	
		□<0,020 [.0008]	
		☐Other:	
N	Feature Location DTP	□>0,50 [.020]	
		□0,50 [.020]	
		□0,40 [.016]	
		□0,30 [.012]	
		□0,25 [.010]	
		□0,20 [.008]	
		□0,15 [.006]	
		⊠0,10 [.004]	
		□<0,10 [.004]	
		□Other:	

All Dimensions are in millimeters [inches shown in brackets]

SECTION 2.5QUALITY DEVELOPMENT

-		
ı	DATE COMPLETED	
	1-28-2014	ļ

This section is intended to provide overview information on the quality systems in place in the manufacturing facility.

Site Capability Snapshot (Please Check all that apply.)

	Designators		Remarks
Α	Strategic Plan	☑Functional Steering Committee Formed	
		☐TQM Plan & Philosophy Established & Published	
		☑Documented Quality Progress Review	
		☐Implementation & review of Project Team Recommendations	
		☐TQM Communicated throughout organization	
		⊠Controlled New process Start-up	
		☐Management Participates in TQM Audits	
		□Employee Recognition Program	
		☐Total TQM Plan/Involvement Customer Training	
		□Other:	
В	Employee Involvement	☐ Certified Training Available	
		☑Training of Employee Base	
		☐TQM Team Trained	
		☑Design of Experiment Training and Use	
		⊠New Process Implementation Training	
		⊠Support Personnel Training	
		⊠Advanced Statistical Training	
		☑Quality Functional Deployment	
		⊠Ongoing Improvement Program for Employees	
		□Other:	
С	Quality Manual	Quality Manual Started	
		☐Generic Quality Manual for Facility	
		☐10% of manufacturing depts. have process specifications	
		☐25% of manufacturing depts. have process specifications	
		☐50% of manufacturing depts. have process specifications	
		☐Non-manufacturing Manuals Developed	
		☐25% of all departments have quality manuals	
		□50% of all departments have quality manuals	
		☑All Manufacturing and support depts. have controlled quality manal	
		□Other:	

D	Instructions	☐Work Instructions Started	, and the second
		☐Quality Instructions Started	
		□10% Work Instructions Completed	
		☐10% Quality Instructions Completed	
		☐25% Work Instructions Competed, Controlled	
		☐25% Quality Instructions Completed, Controlled	
		□50% Work Instructions Completed, Controlled	
		☐50% Quality Instructions Completed, Controlled	
		☑Quality and work Instruct. Completed, Controlled	
		Other:	
Е	SPC Implementation IPC- PC-90	□Plan Exists	
		☐Training Started	
		☑Process Data Collected & Analyzed	
		⊠All Employees Trained	
		☐First Process Stable & Capable	
		⊠Several Major Processes Stable & Capable	
		☑Continued Improvement of Stable Processes	
		☐Additional Mfg Processes under Control	
		⊠All Processes Under Control	
		Other:	
	Supplier Programs/Controls	Supplier Rating Program	
F	.,		
F	0	☐Monthly Analysis Program	
F	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	☐Monthly Analysis Program ☐Key Problems Identified	
F	0		
F	,, ,	⊠Key Problems Identified	
F	ı' ü		
F			
F		 ☑Key Problems Identified ☑Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided ☐TQM Acceptance by suppliers ☐10% of Suppliers Using SPC 	
F		 ☑ Key Problems Identified ☑ Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided ☐ TQM Acceptance by suppliers ☐ 10% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ 25% of Suppliers Using SPC 	
		 ☑ Key Problems Identified ☑ Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided ☐ TQM Acceptance by suppliers ☐ 10% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ 25% of Suppliers Using SPC ☑ 50% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ All Key Suppliers using Certified parts program ☐ Other: 	
G	Third Party IPC-QS-95	 ☑ Key Problems Identified ☑ Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided ☐ TQM Acceptance by suppliers ☐ 10% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ 25% of Suppliers Using SPC ☑ 50% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ All Key Suppliers using Certified parts program ☐ Other: ☐ Instrument Controls in Place 	
		 ☑ Key Problems Identified ☑ Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided ☐ TQM Acceptance by suppliers ☐ 10% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ 25% of Suppliers Using SPC ☑ 50% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ All Key Suppliers using Certified parts program ☐ Other: ☐ Instrument Controls in Place ☐ Measurement System in Control IPC-PC-90 	
		⊠Key Problems Identified ⊠Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided □TQM Acceptance by suppliers □10% of Suppliers Using SPC □25% of Suppliers Using SPC □50% of Suppliers Using SPC □All Key Suppliers using Certified parts program □Other: □Instrument Controls in Place □Measurement System in Control IPC-PC-90 □Document Controls in Place	
		⊠Key Problems Identified ⊠Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided □TQM Acceptance by suppliers □10% of Suppliers Using SPC □25% of Suppliers Using SPC □50% of Suppliers Using SPC □All Key Suppliers using Certified parts program □Other: □Instrument Controls in Place □Measurement System in Control IPC-PC-90 □Document Controls in Place □Reduced Lot Sampling	
		☑ Key Problems Identified ☑ Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided ☐ TQM Acceptance by suppliers ☐ 10% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ 25% of Suppliers Using SPC ☑ 50% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ All Key Suppliers using Certified parts program ☐ Other: ☐ Instrument Controls in Place ☐ Measurement System in Control IPC-PC-90 ☐ Document Controls in Place ☐ Reduced Lot Sampling ☐ 10% of Processes Under Audit Control	
		☑Key Problems Identified ☑Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided ☐TQM Acceptance by suppliers ☐10% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐25% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐All Key Suppliers using Certified parts program ☐Other: ☐Instrument Controls in Place ☐Measurement System in Control IPC-PC-90 ☐Document Controls in Place ☐Reduced Lot Sampling ☐10% of Processes Under Audit Control ☐50% or Greater of Processes Under Audit Control	
		☑ Key Problems Identified ☑ Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided ☐ TQM Acceptance by suppliers ☐ 10% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ 25% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ All Key Suppliers using Certified parts program ☐ Other: ☐ Instrument Controls in Place ☐ Measurement System in Control IPC-PC-90 ☐ Document Controls in Place ☐ Reduced Lot Sampling ☐ 10% of Processes Under Audit Control ☐ 50% or Greater of Processes Under Audit Control ☐ ISO-9003 Certified	
		⊠Key Problems Identified ⊠Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided □TQM Acceptance by suppliers □10% of Suppliers Using SPC □25% of Suppliers Using SPC ☑50% of Suppliers Using SPC □All Key Suppliers using Certified parts program □Other: □Instrument Controls in Place □Measurement System in Control IPC-PC-90 □Document Controls in Place □Reduced Lot Sampling □10% of Processes Under Audit Control □50% or Greater of Processes Under Audit Control □ISO-9003 Certified □ISO-9002 Certified	
		☑ Key Problems Identified ☑ Supplier Reviews Performance Data provided ☐ TQM Acceptance by suppliers ☐ 10% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ 25% of Suppliers Using SPC ☐ All Key Suppliers using Certified parts program ☐ Other: ☐ Instrument Controls in Place ☐ Measurement System in Control IPC-PC-90 ☐ Document Controls in Place ☐ Reduced Lot Sampling ☐ 10% of Processes Under Audit Control ☐ 50% or Greater of Processes Under Audit Control ☐ ISO-9003 Certified	

SECTION 3

EQUIPMENT PROFILE (Pre-Site Audit)

DATE COMPLETED	
1-28-2014	

* Examples of equipment limitations include: min/max board size & min/max working area

3.1	PHOTOTOOL CAPABILITY	YES	NO	EGUPMENT	O. O	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) AOI of phototool		\boxtimes			
	B) AOI CAD reference (CAM)			GERBER/ODB++	1	
	C) Photoplotting	\boxtimes		FUJITSU	1	
				ORBOTECH 8800 LDI		
	D) Photo reductions		\boxtimes			
	E) Film scan and conversion			OUTSIDE SERVICE		
	F) Film processing ☐ air-dried ☐ force-dried ☐ processed in automatic processor	\boxtimes		FUJITSU	1	
	G) Media types ⊠ silver halide film ☐ glass ⊠ diazo	\boxtimes		FUJITSU	1	
3.2	DRILLING EQUIPMENT	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT	COTY	ECUPMENT LIMITS
	A) Manual					
	B) Optical (single spindle)		\boxtimes			
	C) N.C. drill	\boxtimes		EXCELLON MK5, MK6 EXCELLON CENTURY 2001	5	TOTAL OF 3 MK5/MK6 TOTAL OF 2 CENTURY 2001
3.3	ROUTING EQUIPMENT	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT	6.19	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Edge beveler			RADOLL	2	
	B) Hand router (pin router)					
	C) N.C. router			EXCELLON MK5	1	
	D) N.C. driller/router			EXCELLON MK5	3	
	E) Scoring (profile)					
	F) Scoring (straight line)			ANITA AUTOMATION	1	

3.4	MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT	QTV	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Punch press					
	B) Shear				2	
	C) Milling machine		\boxtimes			
3.5	HOLE PREPARATION (DESMEAR)	YES	NO	ECHIPMENT	C TY	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Permagnate	\boxtimes		CUSTOM HANDLINE	1	
	B) Plasma			OUTSIDE SERVICE		
	C) Mechanical					
	D) Etchback	\boxtimes		OUTSIDE SERVICE		
3.6	PRIMARY IMAGE APPLICATION	YES	NO	EGLIPMENT	0.00	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Dry film			ORBOTECH 8800 LDI ACCUTRAY OLEC	3	
	B) Hand screening					
	C) Machine screening		\boxtimes			
	D) Wet film					
	E) Liquid photoimageable					
3.7	TYPE OF TREATMENT FOR MULTILAYER INNERLAYERS	YES	NO	EGUPMENT	GTY	EQUIPMENT CHITS
	A) Black oxide		\boxtimes			
	B) Red oxide		\boxtimes			
	C) Copper scrub					
	D) Durabond					
	E) Other	\boxtimes		MACDERMID MULTIBOND CUSTOM HANDLINE	1	

3.8	LAMINATION	YES	NO	MATERIAL	O. O	APPLICATION TECHNIQUE
	A) High pressure			TMP	2	
	B) High temperature			TMP	2	
	C) Vacuum			TMP	2	
	D) Vacuum assist					
	E) Foil heat assist					
	F) Separate cool-down			TMP	2	
3.9	ELECTROLESS COPPER PLATING	YES	NO	ECLIPMENT	977	EGUPMENT LRUTTS
	A) Fully additive application					
	B) Electroless deposition (semiadditive)			CUSTOM HANDLINE		
	C) Through-hole and via					
		•				
3.10	COPPER ELECTROPLATING	YES	NO	ECHIPMENT	877	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Copper sulfate	\boxtimes		EIDSCHUN CUSTOM HANDLINE	4	AUTO LINE MANUAL LINE
	B) Pyrophosphate					
	C) Copper fluoborate					
	D) Other					
3.11	TIN/LEAD SURFACE PLATINGS/COATINGS	YES	NO	EGUPMENT	arv	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Tin/lead electroplated					
	B) Immersion tin or tin/lead (electroless)					
	C) Hot air solder leveled (HASL)			ARGUS	2	HASL LEAD FREE HASL

3.12	FUSING PROCESSES	YES	NO	ECHIPMENT	O	ECHPMENTLIMITS
	A) I.R. reflow					
	B) Hot oil reflow		\boxtimes			
	C) Horizontal (hot air level)		\boxtimes			
	D) Vertical (hot air level)	\boxtimes		ARGUS	2	HASL LEAD FREE HASL
		,				
3.13	NICKEL SURFACE PLATING	YES	NO	EGUPMENT	944	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Electroless nickel			CUSTOM HANDLINE	1	
	B) Electroplated nickel			MICROPLATE	1	
3.14	GOLD SURFACE PLATING	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT	QTV	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Electroless gold		\boxtimes			
	B) Electroplated gold			MICROPLATE	1	
3.15	PALLADIUM SURFACE PLATING	YES	NO	ECHIPMENT		ECHPMENT LIMITS
	Electroless palladium (immersion)			OUTSOURCE AVAILABLE		
	B) Electroplated palladium					
3.16	SOLDERMASK	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT	CITY	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Screened deposited image			HAND TABLE	4	
	B) Dry film photoimageable			OUTSIDE SERVICE AVAILABLE		
	C) Liquid photoimageable	\boxtimes		DP1000, DP1500, DP1500 DP-VDM, WISE DEVELOPER	5	VACUM SYSTEM/DEVELOPER
	D) Dry film/liquid combination		\boxtimes			
3.17	ORGANIC SURFACE PROTECTION	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT	9.7	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A) Benzotriazole			CUSTOM HANDLINE	1	
	B) Imidazole		\boxtimes			
	C) Benzimidazole					

3.18	MIC	ROSECTION CAPABILITY	YES	NO	EQUIPMENT	CTY.	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A)	Manual				1	
	B)	Single cavity automated					
	C)	Multiple cavity automated					
	D)	Plating thickness analysis			MICRO-SCOPE PTX 100	3	
3.19	CHE	MICAL ANALYSIS	YES	NO	EGLEPMENT	OTY	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A)	Etching chemistry			TITRATION	1	
	B)	Plating chemistry			TITRATION	1	
	C)	Effluent (PPM) analysis			ATOMIC ABSORPTION	1	
			•				
3.20	ELE	CTRICAL TEST EQUIPMENT	YES	NO	ECLUPMENT	O O T	EQUIPMENT LIMITS
	A)	Continuity and shorts	\boxtimes		CIRCUITLINE	4	
					MICROCRAFT		
	B)	Fixture development			CIRCUITLINE	1	
	C)	Flying probe test			MICROCRAFT	3	
	D)	Impedance control			POLAR	1	

MASTER EQUIPMENT LISTING

DATE COMPLETED 1-28-2014

FORM MQP 10

Please complete a Master Equipment List. You may use your own form or the MQP Form 10.

EQUIPMENT NAME/DESCRIPTION	MANUFACTURER TYPE/MODEL	EQUIPMENT LIMITS	ACCURACY	CALIBRATION FREQUENCY	REMARKS

SECTION 4

DATE COMPLETED	
1/28/2014	

TECHNOLOGY PROFILE SPECIFICS

4.1 ADMINISTRATION

4.1.1 CAPACITY PROFILE	EST %	COMMENTS
A) Total annual capacity in square meters (surface area) per month	5000	18,000 PANELS/MONTH (18X24 PANEL SIZE)
B) Presently running at % of capacity	65	

4.1.2 PEI	RCENTAGE OF DOLLAR VOLUME	# OT 16	COMMENTS
A)	Single sided (rigid)	2	
B)	Double sided (rigid)	37	
C)	Multilayer (rigid)	59	
D)	Single side (unreinforced-flex)		
E)	Double sided (unreinforced-flex)	1	
F)	Multilayer (unreinforced-flex)		
G)	Multilayer (rigid/flex)	1	

4.1.3 PANEL PRODUCTION PROFILE	LINETS PER MONTH
A) Size of a production lot in panels	
1) Normal	36
2) Smallest	2
B) Number of panels per month	
1) High Production	1000
2) Medium Production	2000
3) Low Production	2500
3) Short run	3000
4) Prototype	2500

C) Average lead time (delivery) as defined in B)			
1) High Production	15		
2) Medium Production	15		
3) Low Production	10		
3) Short run	6		
4) Prototype	3	ZECT	EVIDALIS 24 HOVIDS
Quick turn - No. of days <u>1.</u>	QUIC	KESI .	ΓURN IS 24 HOURS
D) Product delivered in full panel or array sub-panel format			
Total in panel or array format	60%		
2) Scored format	40%		
3) Tab breakaway format	20%		
4) Other			
5) Total to customer layout	50		
Total to manufacturing layout	50		
E) Product delivered in board format			
Total in board format	40%		
2) Extracted: scored to size	0		
3) Extracted: sheared to size	0		
4) Extracted: routed to size	40%		
4.1.4 APPROVAL AND CERTIFICATION	YES	NO	GONNENTS
Company approvals			
1) UL approval			94V Level <u>0.</u>
2) Canadian standards			
3) MIL-P-55110		\boxtimes	
4) MIL-P-50884		\boxtimes	
5) ISO-9002		\boxtimes	
6) ISO-9001			ISO 9001:2008

May 2004 IPC-1710A 7) ISO-14000 \boxtimes \boxtimes 8) BABT 9) EEC \boxtimes \boxtimes 10) Customer satisfaction Other certification information \boxtimes 1)Laminate \boxtimes 2)Quality standards \boxtimes 3)Equipment calibration **CUSTOMER INTERFACE PROFILE** YES 4.1.5 NO Modem capability \boxtimes A) Baud rate B) \boxtimes C) Data verification technique Engineering change order \boxtimes process \boxtimes E) Job status reporting to customers 4.1.6 **OTHER CAPABILITIES** YES NO \boxtimes A) Facility research and development B) (Automated) On-line shop floor \boxtimes control/MRP system \boxtimes Process control system C) \boxtimes Operator training system D)

4.2 PROCESS ORIENTATION

4.2.1 LAMINATE MATERIAL	EST.	COMMEN	8
A) Most commonly used laminates	60%	Brand name VENTEC	Type VT-47, VT-42
(G10, FR4, etc.)	20%	Brand name ITEQ	Type IT180A, IT140
	7%	Brand name ISOLA	Type 370HR
	3%	Brand name PANASONIC - R1755V	Type
B) Other laminate material	7%	CERAMIC, PTFE	
		ROGERS 4003/4350	
Planar resistor layers		UL approved	
2) BT epoxy	1%	UL approved	
3) Kevlar		UL approved	
4) Teflon		UL approved	
5) Polyimide	2%	UL approved	
6) Cyanate ester		UL approved	
7) Other		UL approved	
 C) Specification to which laminate is purchased (check all that apply) 			
⊠MIL-P-13949 □IPC-4204			
□IPC-4101 □UL Approved			
□IPC-4103 □Other			
□IPC-4202			
□IPC-4203			
D) Laminate storage			
□ Uncontrolled			
☐ Humidity controlled			
☐ Temperature controlled			
Dry box			
☐ JIT inventory			
E) Panel size configurations in X, Y dimesions			
maximum X <u>533</u> Y <u>609</u> mm			
minimum X <u>305</u> Y <u>457</u> mm			
other X <u>457</u> Y <u>609</u> mm			

4.2.2	PROCESS PRECISION SPECIFICS	YES	NO	VALUE COMMENTS
	Maximum printed board thickness built in volume			
	1) Single sided			250
	2) Double sided			250
	3) Multilayer			250
	4) Rigid flex			93
	B) Printed board electrical performance capability			
	1) Impedance control			
	2) Capacitance control	\boxtimes		
	3) Microstrip boards			
	C) Tooling system description			
	Same holes in panels used for all processes			
	2) Optical registration	\boxtimes		Process: IMAGING
	3) Other			
4.2.3	OTHER PROCESS ORIENTATION SPECIFICS	YES	NO	SYSTEM COMMENTS
	A) Solder mask over bare copper			DP1500
	B) Plating/coating information			
	1) Tin/lead reflow			
	2) Hot air leveling			ARGUS
	3) Azole organic			CUSTOM HANDLINE
	4) Conductive		\boxtimes	
	C) Hole formation			
	1) Hole cleaning			CUSTOM HANDLINE
	2) Hole cleanliness verified			VIA MICRO-SECTION

4.3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

*CONSISTENCY IMPLIES YIELDS IN EXCESS OF 80%

4.3.1.	THR	OUGH HOLE INSERTION	EST %	SIZE (MM) - +/- TOL	CONSENTS
	A)	Smallest conductor width and tolerance produced with consistency			
		1) Outer layers (print and etch)	100%	Size .1 mm	
				Tol ± <u>.015</u> .mm	
		2) Inner layers (print and etch)		Size <u>.1</u> mm	100%
				Tol ± <u>.015</u> .mm	
		3) Outer layers (plated)	100%	Size <u>.1</u> mm	
				Tol ± <u>.015</u> .mm	
		4) Inner layers (plated)	100%	Size <u>.127</u> mm	
				$Tol \pm025$.mm	
		5) Outer layers (additive plating)		Size <u>.127</u> mm	
				Tol ± <u>.025</u> .mm	
		6) Inner layers (additive plating)	0%	Size <u>.127</u> mm	
				Tol ± <u>.025</u> .mm	
	B)	Smallest plated-through hole (PTH) and tolerance consistently produced in 1.5mm thickness material or multilayer board			
		1) Minimum PTH diameter	100%	Size <u>.203</u> mm	
				$Tol \pm +.076203$.mm	
		2) Largest panel where this hole can	100%	Size <u>457</u> mm	
		be controlled (across diagonal)		Tol ± <u>609</u> .mm	
	C)	Largest hole size that can be drilled and plated through in a 1.25mm diameter land while maintaining an annular ring of 0.125mm in large/small boards			
		Largest board size (across diagonal)		Size <u>761</u> mm	
		2) Largest hole diameter		Size 48 mm	
		Smallest board size (across diagonal)		Size <u>549</u> mm	
		4) Largest hole diameter		Size 4 mm	
	D)	Surface mount land pattern pitch (check all that apply)			
		1.27mm [.050] \(\sigma 0.63mm [.025]			
		0.5mm [.020] \overline{\times}0.4mm [.016]			
		0.3mm [.012] \(\sum 0.25mm [.010]			
		Other			

						<u> </u>
E)	Solder mask dam between lands					
K-:	(check all that apply)					
	1.27mm [.050] \(\sum 0.63mm [.025] \)					
	③0.5mm [.020]					
	30.3 mm [.012] $30.25 mm [.010]$ $30 ther 0.075 mm$					
	Flatness tolerance (bow & twist) after	er				
,	reflow or solder coating					
I]1.5%		1			
	DDUCT QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE INFORMATION	YES	NO	QUANTITY OF PANELS	NUMBER OF DIMENSION	
A)	Multilayer layer count					
	Maximum layers fabricated in volume (Maximum Lot)			36	18	
	Maximum layers fabricated in prototype (Minimum Lot)			2	32	
B)	Buried vias produced consistently in volume					
	1) Size				.010"	
	2) Number of layers				10	
В)	Blind vias produced consistently in volume					
	1) Size				.012"	
	2) Number of layers				8	
	Controlled depth drilling					BACKDRILL
	2) Total number of layers				10	
4.4. TE	STING CAPABILITY			•	•	
4.4.1 TES	T AND TEST EQUIPMENT PABILITY	YES	NO			COMMENTS
A)	SMT centerline pitch that can be electrically tested					
\boxtimes	0.63mm [.025]					
B)	Double sided simultaneous electrical testing					
1)	Equipment type	\boxtimes		MICROCRAF	Т	
2)	X-ray fluorescence inspection equipment	\boxtimes		FISCHER (FIS	SCHERSCOPI	E X-RAY XRF)
3)	TDR equipment	\boxtimes		POLAR		
4)	Hi-pot test equipment	\boxtimes		MICROCRAF	Т	
5)	Four-wire kelvin tester			OUT SOURSE	EAVAILABL	E THROUGH MICROCRAFT

IPC-1710A		May 2004
6) Capacitance meter		
7) Cleanliness testing		ALPHA OMEGAMETER

4.4.2	AUT USA	OMATED OPTICAL INSPECTION	ESTV	CORMENTS
	A)	Before etching	0%	
	B)	After etching	50%	100% OF ALL SIGNAL AND MIXED LAYERS GROUND PLANES IGNORED EXCEPT FOR CLASS 3 (100%)
	C)	Internal layers	50%	100% OF ALL SIGNAL AND MIXED LAYERS GROUND PLANES IGNORED EXCEPT FOR CLASS 3 (100%)
	D)	Final inspection	20%	CLASS 3 PRODUCT (100%) TIGHT PITCH/BGA/UNDER 5MIL LINE/SPACE 100%
	E)	Other		
	F)	Conductor/clearance normally inspected by AOI equipment		
		1) 🛛 0.05mm [.002]		
		2) 🛭 0.0510mm [.002004]		
		3) 🛚 >.10mm [.004]		
		4) Planes		
	G)	CAD download to AOI	100%	

SECTION 5 QUALITY PROFILE

DATE COMPLETED	
1-28-2014	

GENERAL INFORMATION	
COMPANY NAME	
EAGLE ELECTRONICS,INC.	
CONTACT	
BRETT MCCOY	
TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER
847 891-5800	847-891-5874

This section of the Manufacturer's Qualification Profile is intended to describe the Total Quality Management (TQM) activity in place of being implemented at the manufacturing facility identified in the site description of this MQP.

To ease in the task of identifying the TQM program being planned or underway at the manufacturing site, the activities have been divided into twenty sections which when completed, provide the total picture of the posture toward managing quality issues. Each section contains a number of questions with regard to the topic under review.

It is not the intent to have the questions be all encompassing, nor is every question applicable to all manufacturers. However, identification of the status, related to each questions, when considered as a whole will convey an impression of the progress that the company has achieved in adopting the principles of total quality management.

The twenty sections, in order of the occurrence are:

- 5.1 General Quality Programs Statistical Process Control 5.11 5.2 New Products/Technical Services 5.12 **Problem Solving** 5.3 Customer Satisfaction In-Process Control 5.13 Receiving Inspection 5.4 Computer Integrated Manufacturing 5.14 Material Handling 5.5 Process Documentation 5.15 5.6 Quality Records 5.16 Non-Conforming Material Control Skill, Training & Certification Inspection and Test Plan 5.7 5.17 5.8 Subcontractor Control Product Inspection/Final Audit 5.18
- 5.9 Calibration Control 5.19 Tooling Inspection, Handling, & Storage
- 5.10 Internal Audits 5.20 Corrective Action

Each section provides a status report related to each question. The question may not be applicable, no activity has started as yet, or the company may have developed an approach to the issues raised by the questions. An (X) is indicated in the appropriate column. If deployment/implementation has started, the status is reported as percent deployment; this is indicated in column 4. The percentage number closely approximates the status of deployment. If deployment exists, the percentage results that have been achieved is indicated in column 5. Results are based on expected goals. Not providing percent information in either the deployment or results column implies a lack of activity in the particular area.

The quality descriptions requested are completed on the following pages by checking (X) the appropriate column to reflect the status of the manufacturing facility TQM program. Additional information may be provided as comments shown below, or on individual sections, or additional sheets as necessary.

COMMENTS		
·		

	5.1 GENERAL QUALITY PROGRAMS			STATU	5	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are quality objectives and responsibilities clearly stated, widely distributed and understood through the company?				100	
2.	Is there a quality function or well defined organization which provides customer advocate guidance to the total organization and is this position fully supported by management?				100	
3.	Does a quality measurement system exist with clearly defined metrics and is it utilized as a management tool?				100	
4.	Are work instructions approved and controlled; and are they under revision control?				100	
5.	Are the quality procedures and policies current and available at the point of application; and are they under revision control?				100	
6.	Are benchmark and customer satisfaction studies done to determine best in class for all products, services, and administrative functions; and are quality goals set?				100	
7.	Are Statistical Process Control (SPC) principles understood by all levels of management?				100	
8.	Are there programs with sufficient resources assigned to support corrective actions and prevention?				100	
9.	Does management solicit and accept feedback from the work force?				100	
10.	Is there management support of ongoing training (including quality training), and is it documented by an organizational training plan?				100	
11.	Are there regular management reviews of elements of the quality improvement process, including feedback for corrective action, and are the results acted upon?				100	
12.	Are the quality and reliability goals aggressive relative to customer expectations and targeted at continuous improvement?				100	
13.	Are the people who are responsible for administering the quality assurance function technically informed?				100	
14.	Does Management have a "defect prevention" attitude to achieve continuous improvement?				100	

	5.2 NEW PRODUCTS/TECHNICAL SERVICES		•	STATUS		
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Do new product/technology/service development policies and procedures exist, and do they result in clearly defined project plans with appropriate measureables and approvals?				100	
2.	Is quantitative benchmarking used to evaluate all new products/technologies/services in comparison to best-in-class offerings?				75	
3.	Does a roadmap exist to ensure continued development of leading edge, best-in-class products/technology/services?				100	
4.	Is the capability of each operation which controls critical-to-function characteristics for new products, fully certified?				100	
5.	Are statistical tools used in the development of robust (high yield) new processes, products, and services?				75	
6.	When new product/technology/service requires a new process, is it developed jointly and concurrently with the customer and/or suppliers?				100	
7.	Are design reviews conducted on a scheduled basis which properly address the process capability indices of critical-to-function and product/service characteristics?				100	
8.	Is the new product/technology/service, as produced by the process, verified to meet all customer satisfaction requirements?				100	

ä		
▩		
æ		
▩		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
×		
8		
繆		
8		
8		
8		
畿		
▩		
8		
8		
▩		
×		
8		
畿		
×		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
88		
8		
×		
8		
×		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
*		
×		
×		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
×		
8		
88		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
8		
S		
U		
Ň		
Œ		
Ŋ		
W		
Œ,		
8		
ŀ		

	5.3 CUSTOMER SATISFACTION			STATUS	i	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Is there a measurement system in place to assess the customer's perception of complete performance?				100	
2.	Is an independent (unbiased) customer survey routinely conducted?				100	
3.	Is there an internal measurement system within the organization which correlates to the level of customer satisfaction?				100	
4.	Are there specific goals for achieving Total Customer Satisfaction, both internal and external?				100	
5.	To what extent are customer satisfaction goals disseminated and understood by everyone in the organization?				100	
6.	Does management regularly review and assess all operating systems to determine if barriers to customer satisfaction exist and are appropriate action plans then implemented?				100	
7.	Is there a method in place to obtain future customer requirements?				100	
8.	Are all findings of customer dissatisfaction reported back to the proper organization for analysis and corrective action?				100	
9.	Are customer satisfaction requirements formally defined and documented, and are they based on customer input?				100	
10.	Do all support organizations understand their role in achieving total customer satisfaction?				100	

	5.4 COMPUTER INTEGRATED MANUFACTURING			STATUS	,	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are systems integrated to allow electronic transfer of information between multiple systems to eliminate redundant data entry?				100	
2.	Can customers electronically transfer CAD/CAM directly into manufacturing?				0	
3.	Can customers electronically transfer order information directly into the business system?				0	
4.	Is data electronically shared between shop floor control and process control systems (i.e., CNC, SPC, Electrical Test, AOI, etc.)?				100	
5.	Are planning systems (MRP, forecasting, capacity planning, financial planning, etc.) electronically integrated with operation systems (order processing, purchasing, inventory management, shop floor control, financial/cost control, etc.)?				100	
6.	Is information available from system processes in real time (vs. batch processing)?				100	
7.	Are processes and procedures documented and available on-line?				100	
8.	Do all functional departments have system access to key financial, manufacturing, sales, and operational data, as it relates to their functional objectives?				100	
9.	Are computer simulation and design tools used to the maximum extent practicable in the design of new products/technologies/services				100	

	5.5 PROCESS DOCUMENTATION			STATU	5	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are manufacturing product, process, and configuration documents under issue control?				100	
2.	Are "preliminary" and "special product" specifications controlled?				100	
3.	Does the system ensure that the most current customer specifications are available to the manufacturing personnel?				100	
4.	Does the system ensure that the most current material specifications are available to the procurement function?				100	
5.	Are incoming orders reviewed for revisions and issue changes?				100	
6.	Is conformance to customer specifications assured before an order is accepted?				100	
7.	Is customer feedback provided when designs do not meet manufacturability requirements?				100	
8.	Are critical characteristics classified, relative to impact on product performance?				100	
9.	Are customers informed of changes made to products controlled by customer drawings or specifications?				100	
10.	Is there an effective internal deviation control procedure and, are customer requested deviations documented and followed?				100	
11.	Do new product development procedures exist, and are they followed in the design development process?				100	

	5.6 QUALITY RECORDS	STATUS					
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results	
1.	Are records of inspection and process control maintained and available for review?	Арріісавіе	Started	Developed	100	Results	
2.	Are records of equipment and equipment maintenance kept?				100		
3.	Is the record and sample retention program defined?				100		
4.	Are quality data used as a basis for corrective action?				100		
5.	Are quality data used in reporting performance and trends to management?				100		
6.	Are quality data used in supporting certifications of quality furnished to customers?				100		
7.	Is field information used for corrective action?				100		
8.	Does a cost of quality measurement system exist?				100		
9.	Are customer reported quality problems responded to, and resolved in the time period requested?				100		
10.	Is quality information on production material rejects provided to sub-suppliers with required corrective action?				100		
11.	Are computers used to collect and analyze quality data?				100		

COMMENTS

5.7 SKILLS, TRAINING, & CERTIFICATION	1
---------------------------------------	---

STATUS

	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Does management ensure that all personnel are trained in their role for achieving Total Customer Satisfaction?				100	
2.	Do all personnel understand how their performance impacts internal and external customer satisfaction?				100	
3.	Do all personnel who contact external customers reflect quality improvement programs?				100	
4.	Do personnel participate in professional societies and growth programs?				100	
5.	Are all personnel trained in sufficient detail to support key initiatives?				100	
6.	Are the results of training evaluated and indicated program changes made?				100	
7.	Does a policy exist which encourages the cross training and rotation of personnel, and is this policy used as the basis of job progression?				100	
8.	Are performance standards participatively developed, and regularly applied for all personnel?				100	
9.	Are Total Customer Satisfaction programs and resulting successes publicized to all personnel?				75	
10.	Do goal setting and reward/incentive programs support the quality improvement process?				100	

	5.8 SUBCONTRACTOR CONTROL			STATUS	•	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are requirements defined, communicated, and updated to ensure that the supplier understands expectations?				100	
2.	Does a system exist which measures the performance of the supplier and communicates such information to the supplier? (i.e., supplier rating system)				100	
3.	Have the organization's processes been characterized to identify the critical requirements for the suppliers products?				100	
4.	Have the capabilities of the supplier's processes been assessed and considered in the establishment of the requirements?				100	
5.	Have partnerships been established with suppliers, and is assistance provided to ensure that each supplier has the capability to consistently supply conforming products?				100	
6.	Have quality and cycle time metrics and improvement goals been established participatively with the supplier?				100	
7.	Has a system been established with the supplier for identification and verification of corrective action?				100	
8.	Have the requirements for supplier materials been properly characterized and specified to ensure conformance of the product/service to the customer satisfaction requirements?				100	
9.	Is there a supplier certification program or equivalent procured material/service continuous quality improvement program?				100	
10.	Can all personnel who contract suppliers properly reflect appropriate quality improvement programs and status to them?				100	

CCMMENTS

			STATUS	,		
DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not	Not	Approach	Percent	Percent	
	Applicable	Started	Developed	Deployed	Results	ĺ

1.	Are calibration and preventative maintenance programs in place and documented?	100
2.	Are calibration and maintenance personnel trained?	100
3.	Is traceability to NIST maintained?	100
4.	Is quality measurement and control equipment current, effective, and sufficiently integrated with production equipment?	100
5.	Is the history of quality measurement and control equipment documented?	100
6.	Has repeatability of measuring devices and inspection or testing processes been established and monitored; are gauge capability studies conducted and GR&R ratios acceptable(<10%)?	100
7.	Are calibration and preventative maintenance cycles on schedule?	100
8.	Is the use of non-calibrated equipment for design and production purposes prohibited?	100
9.	Are tools and fixtures used as criteria or acceptability of product/work fully qualified and identified?	100
10.	Are calibration intervals defined in accordance with industry standards or manufacturer's recommendations and the calibration history of the equipment?	100

	5.10 INTERNAL AUDITS			STATUS	,	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are regular reviews of the product/process conducted and are goals/plans established to continually improve?				100	
2.	Are the processes/products properly documented and controlled? Do they include appropriate customer requirements and are they executed in conformance to the documentation?				100	
3.	Are the required quality checks built into the operations within the manufacturing, field installation, and service process, and is the resulting data maintained and promptly acted upon?				100	
4.	Are all pertinent methods of statistical quality control properly, effectively and efficiently used?				100	
5.	Does a process change control system exist, and are customers informed of changes made to products and processes with customer approval prior to the change, when required?				100	
6.	Are the operators within the process provided with written work instructions and are they trained?				100	
7.	Is the receipt, handling, storage, packaging and release of all material, including customer provided items, at all stages, specified and controlled to prevent damage or deterioration, and to address obsolete material?				100	
8.	Is there a first in/first out (FIFO) system in place, and is it followed?				100	

COMMENTS		

	5.11 STATISTICAL PROCESS CONTROL			STATU	5	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Have the personnel who will be responsible for guiding the implementation of SPC been designated?				100	
2.	Are statistical techniques used to reduce variation in the engineering process before the start of production?				75	
3.	Is the quality system dependent upon process rather than product controls?				100	
4.	Is the capability of critical processes and machines measured and monitored with CPK's >1.5, and targeted with CP of 2.0?				25	
5.	Are incapable processes or machines targeted for improvement or replacement?				100	
6.	Is SPC implemented for all critical processes?				75	
7.	Are procedures that control the reaction to out-of-control situations adequate and effective?				100	
8.	Are operators trained in the use of appropriate statistical techniques, and are they properly applying them?				75	
9.	Are advanced problem solving techniques used by engineers to solve problems? (Design of Experiments, planned experimentation, advanced diagnostic tools, etc.)				100	
10.	Are control charts and other process controls properly implemented?				100	
11.	Is statistical process control being practiced in work centers and are yields being recorded and plotted on a scheduled basis, with respect to upper and lower control limits?				25	

	5.12 PROBLEM SOLVING			STATUS	•	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not	Not	Approach	Percent	Percent
		Applicable	Started	Developed	Deployed	Results
1.	Are employees trained in problem solving techniques, in comparison to the needs of the organization?				100	
2.	Does the organization utilize participative problem solving techniques to identify, measure and resolve internal and external problems?				100	
3.	Are problem solving efforts timely and effective?				100	
4.	Are applied resources sufficient to remove problem solving constraints?				100	
5.	Are statistical techniques used for problem solving?				100	
6.	Are quality data used to identify barriers, and to determine the priority of problems?				100	
7.	Is there a policy/procedure that includes the use of problem solving techniques to systematically drive reduction in variability?				100	

COMMENTS		

	5.13 IN-PROCESS CONTROL			STATU:	•	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are process capabilities established and maintained on all major processes? (critical parameters)				100	
2.	Are in-process inspections, test operations, and processes properly specified and performed?				100	
3.	Are in-process inspection facilities and equipment adequate?				100	
4.	Are the results of in-process inspections used in the promotion of effective preventative action and corrective action?				100	
5.	Is preventative maintenance performed on the equipment and facilities?				100	
6.	Are housekeeping procedures adequate and how well are they followed?				100	
7.	Are process management plans established, and are critical parameters followed?				100	
8.	Are work areas uncluttered and free of excess work-in-process, supplies, debris, etc? Is the environment conductive to producing quality work? Is proprietary information adequately protected?				100	
9.	Are certifications and in-process inspection results used in making final acceptance decisions?				100	
10.	Are methods and procedures for the control of metallurgical, chemical, and other special processes established and followed?				100	

	5.14 RECEIVING INSPECTION			STATUS	3	
DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not	Not	Approach	Percent	Percent	
	BEGORII HON OF FROMINI	Applicable	Started	Developed	Deployed	Results
1.	Are receiving inspection facilities and equipment adequately and properly maintained?				100	
2.	Are receiving inspection procedures documented and followed?				100	
3.	Are receiving inspection results used for corrective and preventive action?				100	
4.	Are the procedures for storage and timely disposition of discrepant material in place and followed?				100	

COMMENTS	

	5.15 MATERIAL HANDLING			STATUS	•	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are procured material releases from receiving inspection clearly identified, as to acceptance status?			·	100	
2.	Are procedures to facilitate limited life materials, such as prepreg, in place, properly controlled, and monitored?				100	
3.	Are procured items identified with some means of traceability (serial number, lot number, date code, etc.)?				100	
4.	Are procedures and facilities adequate for storage, release and control of materials?				100	
5.	Are in-store and in-process materials properly identified and controlled?				100	
6.	Is in-process material protected from corrosion, deteriorization, and damage?				100	

	5.16 NON-CONFORMING MATERIAL CONTROL			STATUS		
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Is non-conforming material identified, segregated from regular production material, and properly dispositioned?				100	
2.	Are non-conforming materials properly identified and controlled to prevent inadvertent use?				100	
3.	Is the review and disposition of non-conforming materials defined, and are provisions made for inclusion of the customer in disposition decision?				100	
4.	Are procedures for controlling non-conforming materials, and for ensuing corrective action, in place and followed?				100	
5.	Do procedures provide for material review by a committee consisting of Quality and Engineering (as a minimum), to determine the disposition of non-conforming materials? (deviating from drawings or specification)				100	
6.	Do supplier's procedures and controls for corrective action prevent recurrence of non-conformances?				100	
7.	Is there a system for coordinating necessary corrective action with purchasing personnel?				100	
8.	Does the corrective action extend to all applicable causes of non-conformance (e.g., design, workmanship, procedures, equipment, etc.)?				100	

OMMENTS	

	5.17 INSPECTION AND TEST PLAN			STATUE	•	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are statistical techniques used in determining the acceptability of finished goods to customer requirements?				100	
2.	Are periodic tests conducted to audit reliability and environmental performance of the final product?				100	
3.	Is CPK tracking performed for critical characteristics, with plans to achieve CPK = 1.5 with a target of CP of 2.0?				25	
4.	Is root cause failure analysis performed for internal and external failures, and is appropriate corrective action implemented?				100	
5.	Are test and inspection personnel trained in the procedures of their operations, and are those procedures being followed?				100	
6.	Is the new product/technology/service, as produced by the processes, verified to meet all customer satisfaction requirements?				100	

	5.18 PRODUCT INSPECTION/FINAL AUDIT			STATUS	3	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not	Not	Approach	Percent	Percent
		Applicable	Started	Developed	Deployed	Results
1.	Are final product acceptance procedures documented and followed?				100	
2.	Are all specific customer product audits conducted, as required?				100	
3.	Are inspectors trained for the tasks performed?				100	
4.	Are flow charts or milestones developed with checkpoints readily available?				100	
5.	Is a system in place which denotes inspection performed; e.g., use of initials, stamps, labels, bar codes, etc., affixed to production documentation?				100	
6.	Is a quality system established and maintained for control of product/production documentation?				100	
7.	Is "accept/reject" criteria defined and available for use?				100	
8.	Is a final audit performed to ensure that all required verifications and tests, from receipt of materials through point of product completion, have been accomplished?				100	
9.	Are packing and order checking procedures documented and followed?				100	

COMMENIC

5.19 TOOLING INSPECTION, HANDLING, &	
--------------------------------------	--

STATUS

	STORAGE					
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are temperature, humidity, laminar flow controls in place to prevent contamination, and to assure dimensional stability?				100	
2.	Do operators use hairnets, gloves & lab coats in all photolab and photoexposure areas?				100	
3.	Are work instructions and related forms in place to control all applicable tooling requirements, as stated in the customer's purchase order?				100	
4.	Are customer provided artworks controlled with regard to handling, storage, revision control and relationship to converted production phototools (working films)?				100	
5.	Are production phototools (working films) controlled with regard to handling, storage, use life, and relationship to customer purchase order?				100	
6.	Are customer provided artworks and production phototools (working films) inspected, including dimensional checks?				100	
7.	Are all tools, fixtures, and other devices, used for tooling inspection and control, maintained under the calibration control procedure?				100	
8.	Are records showing initial acceptance, periodic checks, and any needs for rework and/or modification available?				100	

	5.20 CORRECTIVE ACTION			STATUS	•	
	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	Not Applicable	Not Started	Approach Developed	Percent Deployed	Percent Results
1.	Are final acceptance inspection results used for corrective and preventative action?				100	
2.	Is root-cause analysis performed for non-conformances? This includes, but is not limited to, non-conformances (problems) caused by suppliers, found/caused "in-house" during processing, or those reported by the customer.				100	
3.	Is positive action taken to prevent recurrence of problems, and are there documented reports/records of each occasion?				100	
4.	Do procedures and systems provide for ensuring that replies are made to customer requests for correction action within the time limit specified?				100	
5.	Is corrective action controlled and documented for all applicable work centers?				100	
6.	When corrections are made, is their effectiveness subsequently reviewed and monitored?				100	

CAMENTS	

IPC-1710A

BOARD TYPE

May 2004

DATE COMPLETED 1-28-2014

HISTORY #

SECTION 6 (CHECK ONE IN EACH LINE THAT APPLIES) MANUFACTURING HISTORY (See Section 2 Site Capability)

1/2/2013

DATE OF ORDER

Please complete as many history profiles so that the total descriptions of products you manufacture account for production orders that reflect 70% of your business. History profiles are for board or board family (board types may be grounded together if they are similar).

VENTEC VT-47

		1/2/2013	VERVIEW VI II			
VIA TYPE PTH	PRODUCTION QUANTITY TOTAL YEARLY PRODUCTION % 5000 PIECES/MONTH 60		TION %			
	Dimensions in millimeters (inches in brackets)					
	BOARD			ES		
BOARD SIZE DIAGONAL	TOTAL BOARD THICKNESS	NUMBER CONDUCTIVE LAYERS	DIA DRILLED HOLES	TOTAL I	PTH TOL IN)	LOCATION TOL DTP
□<250 [<10.00]	□<1,0 [<.040]	□1-4 [1-4]	□>0,5 [>.020]	□>0,25	0 [> .010]	□>0,50 [>.020]
□250 [10.00]	□1,0 [.040]	□5-6 [5-6]	□0,5 [.020]	□0,250	[.010]	□0,50 [.020]
□350 [14.00]	⊠1,6 [.060]	⊠7-8 [7-8]	□0,4 [.016]	□0,200 [.008]		□0,40 [.016]
□ 450[17.50]	□ 2,0 [.080]	□9-12 [9-12]	□0,35 [.014]	□0,150 [.006]		□0,30 [.012]
□550 [21.50]	□2,5 [.100]	□13-16 [13-16]	⊠0,30 [.012]	□0,125 [.005]		□0,25 [.010]
☐650 [25.50]	□3,5 [.135]	□17-20 [17-20]	□0,25 [.010]	□0,100	[.004]	□0,20 [.008]
⊠750 [29.50]	□5,0 [.200]	□21-24 [21-24]	□0,20 [.008]	⊠0,075	[.003]	□0,15 [.006]
□850 [33.50]	□6,5 [.250]	□25-28 [25-28]	□0,15 [.006]	□0,050	[.002]	⊠0,10 [.004]
□>850 [>33.50]	□>6,5 [>.250]	□>28 [>28]	□<0,15 [.006]	□<0,05	0 [<.002]	□<0,10 [<.004]
☐Other:	□Other:	□Other:	□Other:	□Other		☐Other:

CONDUCTORS							
INTERNAL ELEC CLEARANCE (MIN)	INTERNAL COND WIDTH (MIN)	INTERNAL PROCESS ALLOWANCE	EXTERNAL ELEC CLEARANCE (MIN)	EXTERNAL COND WIDTH (MIN)	EXTERNAL PROCESS ALLOWANCE	FEATURE LOCATION DTP	
□>0,350 [>.014]	□>0,250 [>.010]	□>0,100 [>.004]	□>0,350 [>.014]	□>0,250 [>.010]	□>0,100 [>.004]	□>0,50 [>.020]	
□0,350 [.014]	□0,250 [.010]	□0,100 [.004]	□0,350 [.014]	□0,250 [.010]	□0,100 [.004]	□0,50 [.020]	
□0,250 [.010]	⊠0,200 [.008]	□0,075 [.003]	□0,250 [.010]	⊠0,200 [.008]	□0,075 [.003]	□0,40 [.016]	
⊠0,200 [.008]	□0,150 [.006]	□0,050 [.002]	⊠0,200 [.008]	□0,150 [.006]	□0,050 [.002]	□0,30 [.012]	
□0,150 [.005]	□0,125 [.005]	□0,040 [.0015]	□0,150 [.006]	□0,125 [.005]	□0,040 [.0015]	□0,25 [.010]	
□0,125 [.005]	□0,100 [.004]	□0,030 [.0012]	□0,125 [.005]	□0,100 [.004]	□0,030 [.0012]	□0,20 [.008]	
□0,100 [.004]	□0,075 [.003]	⊠0,025 [.001]	□0,100 [.004]	□0,075 [.003]	⊠0,025 [.001]	□0,15 [.006]	
□0,075 [.003]	□0,050 [.002]	□0,020 [.0008]	□0,075 [.003]	□0,050 [.002]	□0,020 [.0008]	⊠0,10 [.004]	
□<0,075 [<.003]	□<0,050 [<.002]	□<0,020 [<.0008]	□<0,075 [<.003]	□<0,050 [<.002]	□<0,020 [<.008]	□<0,10 [<.004]	
☐Other:	☐Other:	☐Other:	☐Other:	☐Other:	☐Other:	□Other:	

SECTION 7

DATE COMPLETED	

IDENTIFICATION OF PREVIOUS AUDITS (Optional)

Please complete as many forms as you feel reflect the intensity of your customer visits **COMPANY AUDITORS** DATE OF AUDIT **AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS AUDITOR REMARKS** SPECIFICATIONS USED IN AUDIT LENGHT OF AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS MAY BE CONTACTED AT **COMPANY AUDITORS** DATE OF AUDIT **AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS** AUDITOR REMARKS SPECIFICATIONS USED IN AUDIT LENGHT OF AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS MAY BE CONTACTED AT **COMPANY AUDITORS** DATE OF AUDIT **AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS AUDITOR REMARKS** SPECIFICATIONS USED IN AUDIT LENGHT OF AUDIT TEAM MEMBERS MAY BE CONTACT AT

^{*}REPEAT THIS FORM AS NECESSARY

C C	\frown T			0
3 E	L I	IU	N	Ŏ

DATE COMPLETED	

FINANCIAL REVIEW (OPTIONAL)

Please complete the following financial information that coincides with the company description and site

information provided in section 1.		
COMPANY FINANCIAL DESCRIPT	ION	
LEGAL NAME		
TAXPAYER ID NUMBER	DUNS NUMBER	TRADING SYMBOL
ANNUAL SALES	PRIOR YEAR	YEAR-TO-DATE
FISCAL YEAR		
BANK	ACCOUNT NUMBER	
BANK ADDRESS	STATE	ZIP
PROVINCE	COUNTRY	
BANK TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER	
COMMENTS		
SITE FINANCIAL DESCRIPTION		
SITE NAME		
TAXPAYER ID NUMBER	DUNS NUMBER	TRADING SYMBOL
ANNUAL SALES	PRIOR YEAR	YEAR-TO-DATE
FISCAL YEAR	I	
BANK	ACCOUNT NUMBER	
BANK ADDRESS	STATE	ZIP
PROVINCE	COUNTRY	l
BANK TELEPHONE NUMBER	FAX NUMBER	
COMMENTS		

SECTION 9

MQP ELECTRONIC EDITING

This MS Word template comes with editable fields. IPC has made this electronic document available for ease of completing, updating, and filing the MQP, as well as to give the laminate manufacturer and customer a common interface. Using the template enables laminate manufacturers to maintain several customer specific files without the endless stream of paperwork.

Editable fields are highlighted in gray. To complete the fields in the template, use the TAB key to toggle from field to field, entering the information as instructed in the introductory text for each section.

The developers of this MQP strongly suggest the person at the laminate manufacturing facility responsible for creating and maintaining the MQP write protect the file to be sent.